## **GREAT SHELFORD PARISH COUNCIL**

## Planning Applications 17th August to 13th September 2015

	S/1982/15/FL	Date	17/08/2015 Applicant Mr Clive Bates	
	58 Cambridge			
	•		to front, side & rear elevations	
GSPC Co	mments	No Comm	nent	
Recomme	endation	No Object	ction Planning Meeting	02/09/2015
SCDC Dec	cision	Approved		
App Ref	S/1987/15/FL		19/08/2015 Applicant Mr & Mrs Peter & Maxine Greath	nead
	3 Woodlands			
Proposal	Two storey fro	ont and rear	ar extensions, Single story rear and side extension, conver	sion
works to e	xisting dwelling	& erection	n of wall & electric gate	
GSPC Co			ds Rd was brought into the Conservation Area of Gt Shelf	
to control of	development in	ı a road wh	here there are clear development pressures and where it	is likely
			build would have the effect of changing the character of t	
		•	ort forward of the existing building line and install a large sl	-
-		ontrary to th	the aims of the Conservation area policy and detrimental t	o the open
character of	of the area.			
Recomme		Refuse	Planning Meeting	02/09/2015
SCDC Dec	cision	Approved	t t	
App Ref	S/2053/15/FL		20/08/2015 Applicant Mr & Mrs D Staines	
	7 Davey Crese			
Proposal	Erection of two	+	xtension to west side and porch to front	
		o storey ex	Alension to west side and porch to none	
		o storey ex		
		o storey ex		
			·	
GSPC Col		This is a s	somewhat difficult proposal on which to comment as simi	
full width 2	storey extensi	This is a store the t	somewhat difficult proposal on which to comment as similation boundary of the site were refused at 2 and 35 Davey Creater	scent on
full width 2 the ground	storey extensi Is of reducing t	This is a s ions to the t the gap bet	somewhat difficult proposal on which to comment as similar boundary of the site were refused at 2 and 35 Davey Created the properties which would harm the character of the a	scent on area.
full width 2 the ground Subseque	storey extensi ds of reducing t ntly a 2 storey o	This is a s ions to the t the gap bet extension w	somewhat difficult proposal on which to comment as similation boundary of the site were refused at 2 and 35 Davey Creative en properties which would harm the character of the a was approved at 35 Davey Crescent but the first floor elements of the site was approved at 35 Davey Crescent but the first floor elements of the site was approved at 35 Davey Crescent but the first floor elements of the site was approved at 35 Davey Crescent but the first floor elements of the site was approved at 35 Davey Crescent but the first floor elements of the site was approved at 35 Davey Crescent but the first floor elements of the site was approved at 35 Davey Crescent but the first floor elements of the site was approved at 35 Davey Crescent but the first floor elements of the site was approved at 35 Davey Crescent but the first floor elements of the site was approved at 35 Davey Crescent but the first floor elements of the site was approved at 35 Davey Crescent but the first floor elements of the site was approved at 35 Davey Crescent but the first floor elements of the site was approved at 35 Davey Crescent but the first floor elements of the site was approved at 35 Davey Crescent but the first floor elements of the site was approved at 35 Davey Crescent but the first floor elements of the site was approved at 35 Davey Crescent but the first floor elements of the site was approved at 35 Davey Crescent but the first floor elements of the site was approved at 35 Davey Crescent but the first floor elements of the site was approved at 35 Davey Crescent but the first floor elements of the site was approved at 35 Davey Crescent but the site was approved at 35 Davey Crescent but the first floor elements of the site was approved at 35 Davey Crescent but the first floor elements of the site was approved at 35 Davey Crescent but the first floor elements of the site was approved at 35 Davey Crescent but the first floor elements of the site was approved at 35 Davey Crescent but the first floor elements of the site was approved at 35 Dav	scent on area. ment was
full width 2 the ground Subsequer set further	storey extensi ds of reducing t ntly a 2 storey o back than this	This is a store to the the gap better to the gap better to the proposal.	somewhat difficult proposal on which to comment as similation boundary of the site were refused at 2 and 35 Davey Creatween properties which would harm the character of the a was approved at 35 Davey Crescent but the first floor eler Therefore SCDC needs to be convinced that approving the second	scent on area. ment was nis
full width 2 the ground Subsequer set further application	e storey extensi ds of reducing t ntly a 2 storey back than this n would not adv	This is a s ions to the t the gap bet extension w proposal. T versely affe	somewhat difficult proposal on which to comment as similar boundary of the site were refused at 2 and 35 Davey Create tween properties which would harm the character of the a was approved at 35 Davey Crescent but the first floor eler Therefore SCDC needs to be convinced that approving the ect the amenities of the neighbours and set a precedent for	scent on area. ment was nis
full width 2 the ground Subsequer set further application similar 2 st	2 storey extensi ds of reducing t ntly a 2 storey of back than this n would not adv torey side exter	This is a s ions to the t the gap bet extension w proposal. T versely affe nsions to th	somewhat difficult proposal on which to comment as similar boundary of the site were refused at 2 and 35 Davey Create tween properties which would harm the character of the a was approved at 35 Davey Crescent but the first floor eler Therefore SCDC needs to be convinced that approving the ect the amenities of the neighbours and set a precedent for he detriment of the character of the area.	scent on area. ment was nis
full width 2 the ground Subseques set further application similar 2 st If approved	2 storey extensi ds of reducing t ntly a 2 storey of back than this n would not adv torey side exten d there should	This is a s ions to the t the gap bet extension w proposal. T versely affe nsions to th be provision	somewhat difficult proposal on which to comment as similar boundary of the site were refused at 2 and 35 Davey Crest etween properties which would harm the character of the a was approved at 35 Davey Crescent but the first floor eler Therefore SCDC needs to be convinced that approving the ect the amenities of the neighbours and set a precedent for he detriment of the character of the area. on made for the storage of bins in the front garden.	scent on area. ment was his pr
full width 2 the ground Subsequed set further application similar 2 st If approved <b>Recomme</b>	2 storey extensi ds of reducing t ntly a 2 storey of back than this n would not adv torey side exten d there should endation	This is a s ions to the t the gap bet extension w proposal. T versely affe nsions to th be provision	somewhat difficult proposal on which to comment as similar boundary of the site were refused at 2 and 35 Davey Create tween properties which would harm the character of the a was approved at 35 Davey Crescent but the first floor eler Therefore SCDC needs to be convinced that approving the ect the amenities of the neighbours and set a precedent for he detriment of the character of the area.	scent on area. ment was nis
full width 2 the ground Subseques set further application similar 2 st If approved	2 storey extensi ds of reducing t ntly a 2 storey of back than this n would not adv torey side exten d there should endation	This is a s ions to the t the gap bet extension w proposal. T versely affe nsions to th be provision	somewhat difficult proposal on which to comment as similar boundary of the site were refused at 2 and 35 Davey Crest etween properties which would harm the character of the a was approved at 35 Davey Crescent but the first floor eler Therefore SCDC needs to be convinced that approving the ect the amenities of the neighbours and set a precedent for he detriment of the character of the area. on made for the storage of bins in the front garden.	scent on area. ment was his pr
full width 2 the ground Subsequed set further application similar 2 st If approved <b>Recomme</b>	2 storey extensi ds of reducing t ntly a 2 storey of back than this n would not adv torey side exten d there should endation	This is a s ions to the t the gap bet extension w proposal. T versely affe nsions to th be provision	somewhat difficult proposal on which to comment as similar boundary of the site were refused at 2 and 35 Davey Crest etween properties which would harm the character of the a was approved at 35 Davey Crescent but the first floor eler Therefore SCDC needs to be convinced that approving the ect the amenities of the neighbours and set a precedent for he detriment of the character of the area. on made for the storage of bins in the front garden.	scent on area. ment was his pr
full width 2 the ground Subsequed set further application similar 2 st If approved <b>Recomme</b>	2 storey extensi ds of reducing t ntly a 2 storey of back than this n would not adv torey side exten d there should endation	This is a s ions to the t the gap bet extension w proposal. T versely affe nsions to th be provision	somewhat difficult proposal on which to comment as similar boundary of the site were refused at 2 and 35 Davey Crest etween properties which would harm the character of the a was approved at 35 Davey Crescent but the first floor eler Therefore SCDC needs to be convinced that approving the ect the amenities of the neighbours and set a precedent for he detriment of the character of the area. on made for the storage of bins in the front garden.	scent on area. ment was his pr
full width 2 the ground Subseques set further application similar 2 st If approved <b>Recomme</b> <b>SCDC Dec</b>	2 storey extensi ds of reducing t ntly a 2 storey of back than this n would not adv torey side exten d there should endation cision	This is a s ions to the k the gap bet extension w proposal. T versely affe nsions to th be provision No recom	somewhat difficult proposal on which to comment as similation boundary of the site were refused at 2 and 35 Davey Crestetween properties which would harm the character of the area was approved at 35 Davey Crescent but the first floor eler Therefore SCDC needs to be convinced that approving the ect the amenities of the neighbours and set a precedent for he detriment of the character of the area. on made for the storage of bins in the front garden. <b>Planning Meeting</b>	scent on area. ment was his pr
full width 2 the ground Subsequed set further application similar 2 st If approved <b>Recomme</b> <b>SCDC Dec</b>	2 storey extensi ds of reducing t ntly a 2 storey of back than this n would not adv torey side exter d there should endation cision	This is a store to the gap better the gap better the gap better extension we proposal. The versely afferent of the provision to the provision No recom	somewhat difficult proposal on which to comment as similation boundary of the site were refused at 2 and 35 Davey Created were properties which would harm the character of the area was approved at 35 Davey Crescent but the first floor eler Therefore SCDC needs to be convinced that approving the ect the amenities of the neighbours and set a precedent for the detriment of the character of the area. on made for the storage of bins in the front garden. nmendation. Planning Meeting 25/08/2015 Applicant Mr & Mrs James Thorne	scent on area. ment was his pr
full width 2 the ground Subsequed set further application similar 2 st If approved <b>Recomme</b> <b>SCDC Ded</b> <b>App Ref</b> <b>Location</b>	2 storey extensi ds of reducing t ntly a 2 storey of back than this n would not adv torey side exten d there should endation cision	This is a store to the gap better extension we proposal. The provision of	somewhat difficult proposal on which to comment as similated boundary of the site were refused at 2 and 35 Davey Created etween properties which would harm the character of the area was approved at 35 Davey Crescent but the first floor eler Therefore SCDC needs to be convinced that approving the ect the amenities of the neighbours and set a precedent for he detriment of the character of the area. on made for the storage of bins in the front garden. nmendation. Planning Meeting	scent on area. ment was his pr
full width 2 the ground Subsequed set further application similar 2 st If approved <b>Recomme</b> <b>SCDC Ded</b> <b>App Ref</b> <b>Location</b>	2 storey extensi ds of reducing t ntly a 2 storey of back than this n would not adv torey side exten d there should endation cision	This is a store to the gap better extension we proposal. The provision of	somewhat difficult proposal on which to comment as similation boundary of the site were refused at 2 and 35 Davey Created were properties which would harm the character of the area was approved at 35 Davey Crescent but the first floor eler Therefore SCDC needs to be convinced that approving the ect the amenities of the neighbours and set a precedent for the detriment of the character of the area. on made for the storage of bins in the front garden. nmendation. Planning Meeting 25/08/2015 Applicant Mr & Mrs James Thorne	scent on area. ment was his pr
full width 2 the ground Subsequed set further application similar 2 st If approved <b>Recomme</b> <b>SCDC Ded</b> <b>App Ref</b> <b>Location</b>	2 storey extensi ds of reducing t ntly a 2 storey of back than this n would not adv torey side exten d there should endation cision	This is a store to the gap better extension we proposal. The provision of	somewhat difficult proposal on which to comment as similated boundary of the site were refused at 2 and 35 Davey Created etween properties which would harm the character of the area was approved at 35 Davey Crescent but the first floor eler Therefore SCDC needs to be convinced that approving the ect the amenities of the neighbours and set a precedent for he detriment of the character of the area. on made for the storage of bins in the front garden. nmendation. Planning Meeting	scent on area. ment was his pr
full width 2 the ground Subsequed set further application similar 2 st If approved <b>Recomme</b> <b>SCDC Ded</b> <b>App Ref</b> <b>Location</b>	2 storey extensi ds of reducing t ntly a 2 storey of back than this n would not adv torey side extend there should endation cision S/2113/15/FL 2 Caius Farm Part single sto	This is a store to the gap better extension we proposal. The provision of	somewhat difficult proposal on which to comment as similaboundary of the site were refused at 2 and 35 Davey Crest etween properties which would harm the character of the area was approved at 35 Davey Crescent but the first floor eler Therefore SCDC needs to be convinced that approving the ect the amenities of the neighbours and set a precedent for he detriment of the character of the area. on made for the storage of bins in the front garden. <u>mendation.</u> Planning Meeting	scent on area. ment was his pr

## **GREAT SHELFORD PARISH COUNCIL**

Recomme	endation	No Object	ion			Planning Meeting	02/09/2015		
SCDC De	cision								
App Ref	S/0822/15/FL	Date	25/08/2015	Applicant	Mr R.J.Bi	rd			
	197 Hinton W		Į	••					
	Change of use from ancillary residential building to form two short term holiday let units and								
associated internal and external alterations									
GSPC Comments									
Recomme		Approved				Planning Meeting			
SCDC De	cision								
App Ref									
	S/2275/14/FL	Date	26/08/2015	Applicant	Mr & Mrs	James Beal			
	S/2275/14/FL 37 Buristead		26/08/2015	Applicant	Mr & Mrs	James Beal			
Location	37 Buristead	Road				James Beal	athroom and		
Location Proposal	37 Buristead	Road two roof wii	ndows in south				athroom and		
Location Proposal	37 Buristead I Installation of om (retrospec	Road two roof wii tive amende	ndows in south ed)	n facing roo	of slope c				
Location Proposal attic bedro GSPC Co	37 Buristead I Installation of om (retrospec mments	Road two roof wii tive amende The windo	ndows in south ed) ws that have b	n facing roo	of slope c led are la	f dwelling serving a ba	position to		
Location Proposal attic bedro GSPC Co those origi	37 Buristead I Installation of om (retrospec mments	Road two roof wii tive amende The windo I and could	ndows in south ed) ws that have b cause overloo	n facing roo been instal bking of the	of slope c led are la	f dwelling serving a ba	position to		
Location Proposal attic bedro GSPC Co those origi	37 Buristead I Installation of om (retrospec mments nally proposec ured glass belo	Road two roof wii tive amende The windo I and could	ndows in south ed) ws that have b cause overloo ove floor level	n facing roo been instal bking of the	of slope c led are la	f dwelling serving a ba	position to		
Location Proposal attic bedro GSPC Co those origi have obsc	37 Buristead I Installation of om (retrospec mments nally proposed ured glass belendation	Road two roof wii tive amende The windo and could ow 1.7m ab	ndows in south ed) ws that have b cause overloo ove floor level	n facing roo been instal bking of the	of slope c led are la	f dwelling serving a bang rger and in a different t property therefor the	position to y should		
Location Proposal attic bedro GSPC Co those origi have obsc Recomme	37 Buristead I Installation of om (retrospec mments nally proposed ured glass belendation	Road two roof wii tive amende The windo and could ow 1.7m ab	ndows in south ed) ws that have b cause overloo ove floor level	n facing roo been instal bking of the	of slope c led are la	f dwelling serving a bang rger and in a different t property therefor the	position to y should		